# Exhibit G

```
1
 1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
      W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
 5
      capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
      OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
 6
      OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
      ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, )
 7
      in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
 8
      FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
 9
                  Plaintiff,
10
     VS.
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                       VOLUME I OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
     DEPOSITION OF ALEX HORNE, PhD, produced as a
16
     witness on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above
17
     styled and numbered cause, taken on the 5th day of
     March, 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa,
18
19
     State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a
20
     Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under
21
     and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

|          |                     | 2   |
|----------|---------------------|---|
|          |                     |   |
| 1 2      | A P P E A           | RANCES:   |
| 3        | FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: | Mr. David Page  |
| . 4      |                     | Attorney at Law<br>502 West 6th Street<br>Tulsa, OK 74119 |
| 5        |                     |   |
| 6        | FOR CARGILL:        | Ms. Theresa Hill<br>Attorney at Law                       |
| 7        |                     | 100 West 5th Street                                       |
| 8<br>9   |                     | Suite 400<br>Tulsa, OK 74103                              |
| 10       | FOR SIMMONS FOODS:  | Mr. John Elrod<br>Attorney at Law                         |
| 11       |                     | 211 East Dickson Street<br>Fayetteville, AR 72701         |
| 12       |                     | rayecceville, AN 72701                                    |
| 13       | FOR PETERSON FARMS: | Mr. Scott McDaniel<br>Attorney at Law<br>320 South Boston |
| 14       |                     | Suite 700<br>Tulsa, OK 74103                              |
| 16       | FOR GEORGE'S:       | Mr. Woodson Bassett                                       |
| 17       |                     | Mr. James Graves Attorneys at Law                         |
| 18       |                     | 221 North College   |
| 19       |                     | Fayetteville, AR 72701                                    |
| 20       | FOR CAL-MAINE:      | Mr. Robert Sanders<br>Attorney at Law                     |
| 21       | E)                  | 2000 AmSouth Plaza<br>P. O. Box 23059                     |
| 22       | _                   | Jackson, MS 39225   |
| 23       |                     | (Via phone)   |
| 24<br>25 | ALSO PRESENT:       | Dr. Dennis Cooke  |
| 60       |                     |   |
|          |                     |   |

|    |                                |      | 3 |
|----|--------------------------------|------|---|
|    |                                | 11   |   |
| 1  | INDEX                          |      |   |
| 2  |                                |      |   |
| 3  | WITNESS                        | PAGE |   |
| 4  | ALEX HORNE, PhD                |      |   |
| 5  | Direct Examination by Mr. Page | 4    |   |
| 6  |                                |      |   |
|    | Signature Page                 | 292  |   |
| 7  | Reporter's Certificate         | 293  |   |
| 8  | se .                           |      |   |
| 9  |                                |      |   |
| 10 |                                |      |   |
| 11 |                                |      |   |
| 12 |                                |      |   |
| 13 | 8                              |      |   |
| 14 |                                |      |   |
| 15 |                                |      |   |
| 16 |                                |      |   |
| 17 |                                |      |   |
| 18 |                                |      |   |
| 19 |                                |      |   |
| 20 |                                |      |   |
| 21 |                                |      |   |
| 22 |                                |      |   |
| 23 |                                |      |   |
| 24 |                                | =    |   |
| 25 |                                |      |   |
|    |                                |      |   |

1 Now, my understanding that when you did this 2 changing of the data, you actually then selected which data to use to determine what the appropriate 3 ratios would be for the new mean? 5 Yes. 01:44PM So you picked and choosed among the data you 6 had available that you didn't have a concern with 7 8 when you did your changing of the data you did have 9 concern with in 1974? Absolutely. That's part of my job. I am here 10 01:44PM to work out what's representative and what's not 11 representative, and I could have taken everything, 12 13 which would have excluded your question, but I would have had a higher ratio. So you've got choices 14 15 here. The question I have is, would it affect the 01:44PM 16 conclusion very much, and you can make those 17 calculations yourself. 18 Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 19 No. 2. If you would, take a moment to look at that. 20 Dr. Horne, this is a copy of Figure 7, 8 and 9 of 01:45PM 21 the Cooke and Welch report as it was amended by data that was collected in 2008. The data on here for 22 23 2008 was collected by CDM in the same fashion that 24 the data was collected in 2005, 2006 and 2007. Now, 25 except for the addition of the 2008 data, do these 01:45PM

> **TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS** 918-587-2878

152

|    |  | 153     |
|----|--|---------|
|    |  |         |
| 1  | Figures 7, 8 and 9 on Exhibit 2 look familiar to     |         |
| 2  | you?   |         |
| 3  | MR. GRAVES: I'm going to object to                   |         |
| 4  | object to the use of these exhibits which are part   |         |
| -5 | of a report that the court has already ruled on and  | 01:46PM |
| 6  | excluded from utilizing in the case, and so that's   |         |
| 7  | my objection.  |         |
| 8  | Q Do you remember the question, Dr. Horne?           |         |
| 9  | A It wasn't a question. It was a statement I         |         |
| 10 | think.   | 01:46PM |
| 11 | Q I asked you well, his was a statement. I           |         |
| 12 | asked you whether or not these exhibits, this        |         |
| 13 | Exhibit 2, except for the inclusion of the 2008      |         |
| 14 | data, was familiar to you as being from the Cooke    |         |
| 15 | and Welch report?                                    | 01:46PM |
| 16 | MR. GRAVES: I'll make the same objection,            |         |
| 17 | and is it the State's position that this is rebuttal |         |
| 18 | analysis like what we dealt with in the Johnson      |         |
| 19 | deposition?  |         |
| 20 | MR. PAGE: This is this is materials                  | 01:46PM |
| 21 | I've put together for cross examination of this      | 1       |
| 22 | witness.   |         |
| 23 | MR. GRAVES: Are these were these                     |         |
| 24 | included in the supplemental 2008 report by Drs.     |         |
| 25 | Cooke and Welch?                                     | 01:47PM |
|    |  |         |

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

153

154

| 1  | MR. PAGE: Yes, it was, and                           |         |
|----|--|---------|
| 2  | MR. GRAVES: Just for the Record, that's              |         |
| 3  | the report that the court already ruled on and       |         |
| 4  | excluded.  |         |
| 5  | MR. PAGE: And it's the information that              | 01:47PM |
| 6  | has been provided. All the information within this   |         |
| 7  | exhibit, including 2008 information, has been        | 16.     |
| 8  | provided to the defendants in the case.              |         |
| 9  | MR. GRAVES: And, again, the court has                |         |
| 10 | excluded that report and these figures from use in   | 01:47PM |
| 11 | the case.  |         |
| 12 | Q So, Dr. Horne, we're going to still talk about     |         |
| 13 | it even though there's some objections.              |         |
| 14 | MR. ELROD: I'm not sure we can. Can we               |         |
| 15 | take a time out and go confer with each other?       | 01:47PM |
| 16 | MR. GRAVES: Sure. Let's take a break.                |         |
| 17 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the Record.             |         |
| 18 | The time is 1:47 p.m.                                |         |
| 19 | (Following a short recess at 1:47 p.m.,              |         |
| 20 | proceedings continued on the Record at 1:53 p.m.)    | 01:53PM |
| 21 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the Record.              |         |
| 22 | The time is 1:53 p.m.                                |         |
| 23 | MR. GRAVES: And just to make I've made               |         |
| 24 | some Record on this regarding the fact that this was |         |
| 25 | an exhibit to a supplemental report that was offered | 01:53PM |
|    |  | =       |

155

| 1   | by the State as a supplement to the Cooke and Welch  |         |
|-----|--|---------|
| 2   | report and that the court has specifically ruled on  |         |
| 3   | that and whether that's something that Dr. Cooke and |         |
| 4   | Welch were allowed to do, and the court has said     |         |
| 5   | that they can't supplement their report, and so my   | 01:53PM |
| 6   | objection is also just to the to any expectation     |         |
| 7   | that it's appropriate that Dr. Horne could try to    |         |
| 8   | form opinions or analysis based on a bar chart from  |         |
| 9   | a supplemental report and based on data that he's    |         |
| 10  | never seen.  | 01:53PM |
| 11  | MR. PAGE: Would you have an objection if             |         |
| 12  | it wasn't on a supplemental report; I just pulled    |         |
| 13  | out the data that's shown for 2008 and put it in     |         |
| 14  | front of him and asked him about 2008 data?          |         |
| 1.5 | MR. GRAVES: Again, it's data that he                 | 01:54PM |
| 16  | hasn't seen, and it's data that you all attempted to |         |
| 17  | put forward in a supplemental report that the court  |         |
| 18  | said is not allowed, and I just think this is, you   |         |
| 19  | know, a backdoor attempt by the State to try to get  |         |
| 20  | information into the Record that the court's already | 01:54PM |
| 21  | said they can't do. I'm not going to tell him not    |         |
| 22  | to answer questions if he can answer them, but I     |         |
| 23  | want to make the Record, and we're going to move to  |         |
| 24  | strike all of it.                                    |         |
| 25  | MR. PAGE: I appreciate that, James. I                | 01:54PM |
|     |  |         |

guess my point is, is that there's probably a lot of 1 2 things that Dr. Horne has not seen that's relevant 3 that's been produced on either side, and would you have the same objection if I pulled out something 4 else that's been produced that wasn't a part of 5 01:54PM Cooke and Welch's report and tried to cross examine 6 7 Dr. Horne with it? 8 MR. GRAVES: I think it depends on what it 9 is. We've made a Record in previous depositions, including one last week with Dr. Johnson, where 10 01:54PM 11 there were new analyses by the State's experts to 12 create exhibits, that the analyses was done after --13 after the expert deadline for the State, and you and I have had some E-mails back and forth over the last 14 15 couple of days about the appropriateness of that and 01:55PM 16 what ought to be produced and associated with that. 17 The difference here, I think, is not only is it 18 something that was created beyond the deadline, but it's something that the court has specifically 19 reviewed the issue and ruled on it, and that's why 20 01:55PM we're making the Record we are. We think it's a 21 22 little bit different and goes even a little bit beyond the objection we had last week at Dr. 23 24 Johnson's deposition. 25 MR. PAGE: Okay, but I can proceed as far 01:55PM

> TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

156

157

| 1  | as you're concerned?                                |         |
|----|---|---------|
|    | as you're concerned?                                |         |
| 2  | MR. GRAVES: Yes.                                    |         |
| 3  | MR. PAGE: And I'll just give you a                  |         |
| 4  | standing objection.                                 |         |
| 5  | MR. GRAVES: Standing objection, and we're           | 01:55PM |
| 6  | going to move to strike this line of questioning.   |         |
| 7  | MR. PAGE: I understand.                             | 6 es    |
| 8  | Q Okay. Dr. Horne, are you familiar with            |         |
| 9  | Exhibit 2, except as to the 2008 data?              |         |
| 10 | A Yes.  | 01:55PM |
| 11 | Q And now that we've had all the lawyers'           | -       |
| 12 | discussions, have you had a chance to look at the   |         |
| 13 | exhibit, including the 2008 data?                   |         |
| 14 | A To look at, yes.                                  |         |
| 15 | Q Okay. Can we turn to Page 2, which is Figure      | 01:56PM |
| 16 | 8?  |         |
| 17 | MR. GRAVES: And, again, just for the                |         |
| 18 | Record, I'm not trying to interrupt you, but you    |         |
| 19 | termed this as 2008 data. What's actually here is a |         |
| 20 | graphical bar representation of some data, and just | 01:56PM |
| 21 | so the Record is clear, this is all he's seen of    |         |
| 22 | 2008 data as well. He hasn't seen any underlying    |         |
| 23 | data that might underlie these graphical            |         |
| 24 | representations that you are putting in front of    |         |
| 25 | him.  | 01:56PM |
|    |   |         |